Nonlinear Dirac equations and surfaces of prescribed mean curvature

Tian Xu

Center for Applied Mathematics Tianjin University, China



Brief review of the Yamabe problem

Yamabe (1960)

Given closed Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $m \ge 3$, find a metric conformal to g with constant scalar curvature.

- Any metric conformal to g can be written as $\tilde{g} = e^{2f}g$
- If *S* and *S* denote the scalar curvature of *g* and *g*, respectively, they satisfy the transformation law:

$$\tilde{S} = e^{2f} (S - 2(m-1)\Delta f - (m-1)(m-2)|\nabla f|^2),$$

in which $-\Delta f$ denotes the Laplacian of f and ∇f its covariant derivative, defined with respect to the metric g.

• Make the substitution $e^{2f} = u^{p-2}$, with $p = \frac{2m}{m-2}$, the scalar curvature satisfies the equation

$$\tilde{S} = u^{1-p} \Big(-\frac{4(m-1)}{m-2} \Delta u + S u \Big)$$

Let $m \ge 3$, we focus on the equation of the form

 $-c_m \Delta u + S u = \tilde{S} u^{p-1} \quad \text{on } (M, g),$

where $c_m = \frac{4(m-1)}{m-2}$, $p = \frac{2m}{m-2}$.

• $\tilde{g} = u^{p-2}g = u^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g$ has constant scalar curvature $\tilde{S} = \lambda$ iff u > 0 satisfies the Yamabe equation

$$-c_m \Delta u + S u = \lambda u^{p-1} \quad \text{on } (M, g).$$
⁽¹⁾

The problem is to prove the existence of a real number λ and a C^2 function *u*, strictly positive everywhere, satisfying (1).

- Positiveness of a solution to Eq. (1) follows from the Maximum Principle.
- The existence is a consequence of Variational Methods.

consider

$$Q_g(u) = \frac{\int_M \tilde{S} d\operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{g}}}{\left(\int_M d\operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{g}}\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}} = \frac{\int_M c_m |\nabla u|^2 + S u^2 d\operatorname{vol}_g}{\left(\int_M |u|^p d\operatorname{vol}_g\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}}, \qquad u \in H^1(M)$$

then, for any $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q_g(u+t\varphi)\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{2}{\|u\|_p^2} \int_M \left(-c_m \Delta u + Su - \frac{Q_g(u)}{\|u\|_p^{p-2}} |u|^{p-2}u\right) \varphi \, d\mathrm{vol}_g$$

where

$$||u||_p = \Big(\int_M |u|^p d\mathrm{vol}_g\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

- u > 0 is a critical point of Q_g iff it satisfies the Yamabe equation (1) with $\lambda = Q_g(u)/||u||_p^{p-2}$.
- λ : the Lagrange multiplier if we restrict ourselves with $||u||_p \equiv 1$.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Consider
$$Q_g(u) = \frac{\int_M c_m |\nabla u|^2 + S \, u^2 d \operatorname{vol}_g}{(\int_M |u|^p d \operatorname{vol}_g)^{\frac{2}{p}}}$$
 on $S_p := \{||u||_p = 1\}.$

Sobolev inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^1(M)}^2 &= \int_M |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 d\operatorname{vol}_g \\ &= \frac{1}{c_m} Q_g(u) + \int_M \left(1 - \frac{S}{c_m}\right) u^2 d\operatorname{vol}_g \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_m} Q_g(u) + C \|u\|_p^2 \end{aligned}$$

 $\implies Q_q(\iota)$

 $Q_g(u)$ is bounded from below and coercive on S_p

Set

$\lambda(M) = \inf \{ Q_g(u) : u \in S_p \}.$

If $\lambda(M)$ is attained, then it also plays the role of the Lagrange multiplier in the Yamabe equation.

 $\lambda(M) \leq 0$ is ease to solve!

Theorem (Aubin 1976)

If M is any closed Riemannian manifold of dimension $m \ge 3$, then

$$\lambda(M) \le \lambda(S^m) = m(m-1)\omega_m^{\frac{2}{m}},$$

where ω_m is the volume of the unit sphere S^m

Conformal invariance of
$$Q$$
: $\lambda(S^m) = \inf_{\varphi \neq 0} \frac{c_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\varphi|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}}, \quad p = \frac{2m}{m-2}$
Extremal function:

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{[m(m-2)]^{\frac{m-2}{4}}}{[1+|x|^2]^{\frac{m-2}{2}}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Rescaling, cut-off and transplant:

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{m-2}{2}} \varphi(x/\varepsilon)$$
 and $u_{\varepsilon} = \eta \varphi_{\varepsilon}$

where η is a cut-off function such that $\eta(x) \equiv 1$ for $|x| < \delta$ and $\eta(x) \equiv 0$ for $|x| > 2\delta$. Then $Q_g(u_{\varepsilon}) = \lambda(S^m) + o_{\varepsilon}(1)$.



Theorem (Aubin 1976)

The Yamabe problem can be solved on any closed manifold *M* with $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^m)$.

Subcritical perturbation:

$$-c_m \Delta u + S u = \lambda_{q_n} u^{q_n - 1}, \quad u > 0$$

the idea is to choose $2 < q_n < p = \frac{2m}{m-2}$ and let $q_n \nearrow p$.

Theorem (Aubin 1976)

If *M* has dimension $m \ge 6$ and is not locally conformally flat, then $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^m)$.

Theorem (Schoen 1984)

If *M* has dimension 3, 4 or 5, or if *M* is locally conformally flat, then $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^m)$ unless *M* is conformal to the standard sphere.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Blow-up for the Yamabe equation $-c_m \Delta u + S u = \lambda u^{p-1}$
 - * the unknown function u > 0 appears as the conformal factor, i.e., $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g$

Roughly speaking, blow-up phenomenon refers to the asymptotical behavior of a special non-compact sequence of "almost solutions".

- Blow-up for the Yamabe equation $-c_m \Delta u + S u = \lambda u^{p-1}$
 - * the unknown function u > 0 appears as the conformal factor, i.e., $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g$

Roughly speaking, blow-up phenomenon refers to the asymptotical behavior of a special non-compact sequence of "almost solutions". * $u_n \in C^2(M), u_n > 0$

$$\begin{cases} -c_m \Delta u_n + S u_n = \lambda u_n^{p-1} + o_n(1) & \text{in } H^{-1}(M) \\ Vol\left(M, u_n^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g\right) = \int_M u_n^{\frac{2m}{m-2}} d\text{vol}_g \to constant > 0 \\ \max_M u_n \to +\infty \end{cases}$$

- Blow-up for the Yamabe equation $-c_m \Delta u + S u = \lambda u^{p-1}$
 - * the unknown function u > 0 appears as the conformal factor, i.e., $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g$

Roughly speaking, blow-up phenomenon refers to the asymptotical behavior of a special non-compact sequence of "almost solutions". * $u_n \in C^2(M), u_n > 0$

$$\begin{cases} -c_m \Delta u_n + S u_n = \lambda u_n^{p-1} + o_n(1) & \text{in } H^{-1}(M) \\ Vol\left(M, u_n^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g\right) = \int_M u_n^{\frac{2m}{m-2}} d\text{vol}_g \to constant > 0 \\ \max_M u_n \to +\infty \end{cases}$$

If we choose $\xi_n \in M$ with $u_n(\xi_n) = \max_M u_n$, the blow-up phenomenon describes concentration behavior of the conformal metrics $u_n^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g$ around ξ_n . (spike layer)

• Blow-up



(M,g)

* 臣

- ∢ ≣ ▶

• Blow-up



 (M,\tilde{g}) looks like S^m

If the background manifold M is a sphere, then blow-up still gives a sphere (conformally).

Tian Xu (:	xutian@	amss.ac.cn
------------	---------	------------

• Blow-up

Single blow-up occurs \implies u_n highly concentrated around one point

Blow-up

Single blow-up occurs $\implies u_n$ highly concentrated around one point \implies the new manifold $(M, u_n^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g)$ looks like a sphere, as *n* large

Blow-up

Single blow-up occurs $\implies u_n$ highly concentrated around one point \implies the new manifold $(M, u_n^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g)$ looks like a sphere, as *n* large

 \implies value of $Q_q(u_n)$ converges to $\lambda(S^m)$

Blow-up

Single blow-up occurs $\implies u_n$ highly concentrated around one point \implies the new manifold $(M, u_n^{\frac{4}{m-2}}g)$ looks like a sphere, as *n* large \implies value of $Q_g(u_n)$ converges to $\lambda(S^m)$

 $\lambda(M) < \lambda(S^m)$, i.e. inf $Q_g < \lambda(S^m) \Longrightarrow$ Blow-up will not occur \Longrightarrow compactness

In the setting of Spin Geometry, a problem analogous to the Yamabe problem has received increasing attention in recent years. Bernd Ammann *et al* provide a framework in which variational methods may be employed.

References

[1] B. Ammann, A variational problem in conformal spin geometry, Habilitationsschift, Universität Hamburg, (2003).

[2] B. Ammann, J.-F. Grossjean, E. Humbert, B. Morel, A spinorial analogue of Aubin's inequality, Math. Z. 260 (2008), 127-151.

- 1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.
 - Clifford algebra

 $- \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ the canonical basis of an oriented Euclidean space (V, g)

- 1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.
 - Clifford algebra
 - $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ the canonical basis of an oriented Euclidean space (V, g)
 - $\mathbb{C}\ell(V)$ the complex Clifford algebra of V with its multiplication being denoted by "." Precisely,

$$\mathbb{C}\ell(V,g) = T(V)/I(V,g),$$

where $T(V) = \bigoplus_{s \ge 0} \bigotimes_{j=0}^{s} V$ is the tensor algebra of *V*, and I(V, g) the ideal generated by all elements of the form $x \otimes x + g(x, x)$, for $x \in V$.

- 1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.
 - Clifford algebra
 - $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ the canonical basis of an oriented Euclidean space (V, g)
 - $\mathbb{C}\ell(V)$ the complex Clifford algebra of V with its multiplication being denoted by "." Precisely,

$$\mathbb{C}\ell(V,g) = T(V)/I(V,g),$$

where $T(V) = \bigoplus_{s \ge 0} \bigotimes_{j=0}^{s} V$ is the tensor algebra of *V*, and I(V, g) the ideal generated by all elements of the form $x \otimes x + g(x, x)$, for $x \in V$.

- Clifford representation

$$\mathbb{C}\ell(V,g) \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(2^k;\mathbb{C}) & m = 2k \\ \mathcal{M}(2^k;\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}(2^k;\mathbb{C}) & m = 2k+1 \end{cases}$$

 $\mathbb{C}\ell(V)$ has an irreducible module, the spinor module \mathbb{S}_m , with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_m = 2^{[\frac{m}{2}]}$.

- 1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.
 - Clifford algebra
 - $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ the canonical basis of an oriented Euclidean space (V, g)
 - $\mathbb{C}\ell(V)$ the complex Clifford algebra of *V* with its multiplication being denoted by "." Precisely,

$$\mathbb{C}\ell(V,g) = T(V)/I(V,g),$$

where $T(V) = \bigoplus_{s \ge 0} \bigotimes_{j=0}^{s} V$ is the tensor algebra of *V*, and I(V, g) the ideal generated by all elements of the form $x \otimes x + g(x, x)$, for $x \in V$.

- Clifford representation

$$\mathbb{C}\ell(V,g) \cong \begin{cases} \mathcal{M}(2^k;\mathbb{C}) & m = 2k \\ \mathcal{M}(2^k;\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}(2^k;\mathbb{C}) & m = 2k+1 \end{cases}$$

 $\mathbb{C}\ell(V)$ has an irreducible module, the spinor module \mathbb{S}_m , with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{S}_m = 2^{[\frac{m}{2}]}$. The Clifford representation is the following action

$$\mathbb{C}\ell(V)\otimes\mathbb{S}_m\to\mathbb{S}_m,\quad \xi\otimes\psi\mapsto\xi\cdot\psi.$$

A B M A B M

1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

• The Dirac operator

Suppose (M, g, σ) is a spin manifold of dimension $m \ge 2$, with a fixed spin structure σ .



1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

• The Dirac operator

Suppose (M, g, σ) is a spin manifold of dimension $m \ge 2$, with a fixed spin structure σ .



Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \subset T_U M$ be an (local) orthonormal frame,

1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

• The Dirac operator

Suppose (M, g, σ) is a spin manifold of dimension $m \ge 2$, with a fixed spin structure σ .



Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \subset T_U M$ be an (local) orthonormal frame, the Dirac operator is defined as

$$D_g \psi = \sum_{k=1}^m e_k \cdot_g \nabla_{e_k} \psi$$
 for smooth section $\psi \in \mathbb{S}(M)$

where ∇ is the lifted Levi-Civita connection (on the spinor bundle).

1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

Dirac operator enjoys analogous properties to the Yamabe operator:

conformally covariant

1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

Dirac operator enjoys analogous properties to the Yamabe operator:

- conformally covariant
- self-adjoint

1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

Dirac operator enjoys analogous properties to the Yamabe operator:

- conformally covariant
- self-adjoint
- discrete eigenvalues of finite multiplicity

1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

Dirac operator enjoys analogous properties to the Yamabe operator:

- conformally covariant
- self-adjoint
- discrete eigenvalues of finite multiplicity

Difference:

• Dirac operator is a first order differential operator

1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

Dirac operator enjoys analogous properties to the Yamabe operator:

- conformally covariant
- self-adjoint
- discrete eigenvalues of finite multiplicity

Difference:

- Dirac operator is a first order differential operator
- Dirac operator acts on spinors (which are complex vectors)

1. Dirac operator introduced by M.F. Atiyah in 1962.

Dirac operator enjoys analogous properties to the Yamabe operator:

- conformally covariant
- self-adjoint
- discrete eigenvalues of finite multiplicity

Difference:

- Dirac operator is a first order differential operator
- Dirac operator acts on spinors (which are complex vectors)
- the spectrum of a Dirac operator accumulates both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$

 $-\infty \leftarrow \cdots \leq \lambda_{-2} \leq \lambda_{-1} \leq 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \rightarrow +\infty$

- 2. A conformal invariant
 - Bär-Hijazi-Lott invariant

$$\lambda_{\min}^+(M,[g],\sigma) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \lambda_1^+(\tilde{g}) \mathsf{Vol}(M,\tilde{g})^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

 $-\lambda_1^+(\tilde{g})$ the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Dirac operator $D_{\tilde{g}}$

$$- \ \tilde{g} \in [g] := \{ f^2g : \ f \in C^\infty(M), \ f > 0 \}$$

- 2. A conformal invariant
 - Bär-Hijazi-Lott invariant

$$\lambda_{\min}^+(M,[g],\sigma) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \lambda_1^+(\tilde{g}) \mathsf{Vol}(M,\tilde{g})^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

 $-\ \lambda_1^+(\tilde{g})$ the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Dirac operator $D_{\tilde{g}}$

$$- \ \tilde{g} \in [g] := \{ f^2g : \ f \in C^{\infty}(M), \ f > 0 \}$$

- * If D_g is invertible, then $\lambda_{\min}^+(M, [g], \sigma) > 0$. (J. Lott 1986)
- * $\lambda_{\min}^{+}(M, [g], \sigma)^{2} \geq \frac{m}{4(m-1)}\lambda(M)$. (O. Hijazi 1986)

*
$$\lambda_{min}^+(S^2, [g_{S^2}], \sigma) = 2\sqrt{\pi}$$
. (C. Bär 1992)

- 2. A conformal invariant
 - Bär-Hijazi-Lott invariant

$$\lambda_{\min}^{+}(M,[g],\sigma) := \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \lambda_{1}^{+}(\tilde{g}) \mathsf{Vol}(M,\tilde{g})^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

 $-\ \lambda_1^+(\tilde{g})$ the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Dirac operator $D_{\tilde{g}}$

$$- \ \tilde{g} \in [g] := \{ f^2g : \ f \in C^{\infty}(M), \ f > 0 \}$$

- * If D_g is invertible, then $\lambda_{\min}^+(M, [g], \sigma) > 0$. (J. Lott 1986)
- * $\lambda_{\min}^{+}(M, [g], \sigma)^{2} \geq \frac{m}{4(m-1)}\lambda(M)$. (O. Hijazi 1986)
- * $\lambda_{\min}^+(S^2, [g_{S^2}], \sigma) = 2\sqrt{\pi}$. (C. Bär 1992)
- * $\lambda_{\min}^+(M, [g], \sigma) > 0$ for any closed spin manifold. (B. Ammann 2003)
- * $\lambda_{\min}^+(M, [g], \sigma) \le \lambda_{\min}^+(S^m, [g_{S^m}], \sigma) = \frac{m}{2}\omega_m^{\frac{1}{m}}$, where ω_m stands for the volume of S^m . (Ammann et al 2006-2008)

2. A conformal invariant

• Bär-Hijazi-Lott invariant

Ammann's idea: finding a critical metric of BHL-invariant is equivalent to prove the existence of a spinor field $\psi \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{S}(M))$ minimizing the functional defined by

$$J_g(\phi) = \frac{\left(\int_M |D_g\phi|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} d\operatorname{vol}_g\right)^{\frac{m+1}{m}}}{\left|\int_M (D_g\phi, \phi) d\operatorname{vol}_g\right|},$$

whose Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$D_g \psi = \lambda_{\min}^+(M, [g], \sigma) |\psi|_g^{m^*-2} \psi, \quad \text{where } m^* := \frac{2m}{m-1}$$

2. A conformal invariant

• Bär-Hijazi-Lott invariant

Ammann's idea: finding a critical metric of BHL-invariant is equivalent to prove the existence of a spinor field $\psi \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{S}(M))$ minimizing the functional defined by

$$J_g(\phi) = \frac{\left(\int_M |D_g\phi|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} d\operatorname{vol}_g\right)^{\frac{m+1}{m}}}{\left|\int_M (D_g\phi, \phi) d\operatorname{vol}_g\right|},$$

whose Euler-Lagrange equation is

$$D_g \psi = \lambda_{\min}^+(M, [g], \sigma) |\psi|_g^{m^*-2} \psi$$
, where $m^* := \frac{2m}{m-1}$

One may also consider the normalized equation

$$D_g \psi = |\psi|_g^{\frac{2}{m-1}} \psi, \qquad m^* - 2 = \frac{2}{m-1}$$
2. A conformal invariant

Bär-Hijazi-Lott invariant

A compactness result (Ammann 2009)

Assume that

$$\lambda_{\min}^+(M,[g],\sigma) < \lambda_{\min}^+(S^m,[g_{S^m}],\sigma).$$

The ground state energy solution of the perturbed equation (with $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e. subcritical exponent)

$$D_g\psi = |\psi|_g^{\frac{2}{m-1}-\varepsilon}\psi$$

will converge in the C^1 -topology as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to a solution of

$$D_g\psi=|\psi|_g^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\psi.$$

Moreover, $\lambda_{\min}^+(M, [g], \sigma)$ is attained.

Open problem: the strict inequality for $\lambda_{min}^+(M, [g], \sigma)$.

• Spinorial Weierstraß representation

Goal or Geometric starting point: a global representation for surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 of arbitrary mean curvature *H*.

• Spinorial Weierstraß representation

Goal or Geometric starting point: a global representation for surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 of arbitrary mean curvature *H*.

► Simple description:

Spinorial Weierstraß representation

 $\begin{cases} \text{Solutions of} \\ D_g \psi = H \psi, \\ |\psi|_g = 1 \text{ on } M \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \text{Periodic isometric immersions of} \\ \widetilde{M} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ with mean curvature } H \end{cases}$

History involved:

Kenmotsu (1979), Abresch (1987), Trautman (1992,1995), Kusner & Schmitt (1993, 1995, 1996), Konopelchenko (1996), Taimanov (1996,1997), Bär (1998), Friedrich (1998)

• Spinorial Weierstraß representation

The approach by Trautman/Bär/Friedrich — restricting spinors to hypersurfaces

For Riemannian spin manifolds $M^m \hookrightarrow N^{m+1}$

$$\nabla^M_X \varphi = \nabla^N_X \varphi - \frac{1}{2} W(X) \cdot \varphi$$

$$\begin{split} \varphi &: M \to \mathbb{S}(M) \subset \mathbb{S}(N) \text{ spinor field defined on } M \\ X &\in TM \subset TN \\ W \text{ is the Weingarten map of } M \text{ in } N \\ W(X) \cdot \varphi \in \mathbb{S}(M) \text{ is Clifford multiplication of } W(X) \in TM \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathbb{S}(M) \end{split}$$

• Spinorial Weierstraß representation

The approach by Trautman/Bär/Friedrich — restricting spinors to hypersurfaces

For Riemannian spin manifolds $M^m \hookrightarrow N^{m+1}$

$$\nabla^M_X \varphi = \nabla^N_X \varphi - \frac{1}{2} W(X) \cdot \varphi$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \varphi: M \to \mathbb{S}(M) \subset \mathbb{S}(N) \text{ spinor field defined on } M\\ X \in TM \subset TN\\ W \text{ is the Weingarten map of } M \text{ in } N\\ W(X) \cdot \varphi \in \mathbb{S}(M) \text{ is Clifford multiplication of } W(X) \in TM \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathbb{S}(M) \end{array}$

Consequence: If we restrict a parallel spinor φ of *N* to *M*, then

$$D_g \varphi^* = H \varphi^*, \quad |\varphi^*|_g \equiv constant \ (= 1 \text{ w.l.o.g})$$

Spinorial Weierstraß representation Converse:

m = 2 Friedrich's approach: Assume φ solves

$$D_g \varphi = H \varphi, \quad |\varphi|_g \equiv 1.$$

Then there is a symmetric W with

$$\nabla_X \varphi = -\frac{1}{2} W(X) \cdot \varphi$$

Further, *W* satisfies the Gauß and Codazzi equations. This yields an immersion $\widetilde{M} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$.

• Conformal change

Let *g* be a Riemannian metric on M^m , $\overline{g} = f^2 g$, then

$$D_{\overline{g}}\varphi = f^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}D_g\left(f^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\varphi\right)$$

Conformal change

Let *g* be a Riemannian metric on M^m , $\overline{g} = f^2 g$, then

$$D_{\overline{g}}\varphi = f^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}D_g\left(f^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\varphi\right)$$

Consequence: If $\varphi : M \to \mathbb{S}(M)$ solves the nonlinear equation

$$D_g \varphi = H(\xi) |\varphi|_g^{\frac{2}{m-1}} \varphi, \quad |\varphi|_g > 0$$

then by setting $g_1 = |\varphi|_g^{\frac{1}{m-1}}g$ and $\psi = |\varphi|_g^{-1}\varphi$, one obtains a solution to

$$D_{g_1}\psi = H(\xi)\psi, \quad |\psi|_{g_1} = 1.$$

Spinorial Weierstraß representation \Rightarrow conformal immersion of $\widetilde{M} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$.

• Geometric problem transformed into a PDE problem:

For $H: M \to \mathbb{R}$ a given (smooth) function

 $D_{g}\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|_{g}^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\psi$ on (M, g, σ) $\begin{cases}
\text{Spinorial Yamabe } (H \equiv constant) \begin{cases}
\text{estimates on BHL} \\
\text{existence} \end{cases}
\end{cases}$ $\begin{cases}
\text{suitable criterion for } H \\
\text{existence} \\
\text{zero set of a solution} \end{cases}$

Theorem (Raulot 2009)

If the Dirac operator D_g is invertible, H is positive and satisfies

$$\lambda_{\min,H} \cdot \left(\max_{M} H\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} < \frac{m}{2} \omega_{m}^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad \lambda_{\min,H} := \inf_{\phi \neq 0} \frac{\left(\int_{M} H^{-\frac{m-1}{m+1}} |D_{g}\phi|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} d\mathrm{vol}_{g}\right)^{\frac{m}{m}}}{\left|\int_{M} (D_{g}\phi, \phi) d\mathrm{vol}_{g}\right|}$$

then there exists a solution to the equation
$$D_{g}\psi = \lambda_{\min,H} H(\xi) |\psi|^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{M} H(\xi) |\psi|^{\frac{2m}{m-1}} d\mathrm{vol}_{g} = 1.$$

t

Theorem (Raulot 2009)

If the Dirac operator D_g is invertible, H is positive and satisfies

$$\lambda_{\min,H} \cdot \left(\max_{M} H\right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} < \frac{m}{2} \omega_{m}^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad \lambda_{\min,H} := \inf_{\phi \neq 0} \frac{\left(\int_{M} H^{-\frac{m-1}{m+1}} |D_{g}\phi|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} d\mathrm{vol}_{g}\right)^{\frac{m}{m}}}{\left|\int_{M} (D_{g}\phi, \phi) d\mathrm{vol}_{g}\right|}$$

hen there exists a solution to the equation
$$D_{g}\psi = \lambda_{\min,H} H(\xi) |\psi|^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\psi \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{M} H(\xi) |\psi|^{\frac{2m}{m-1}} d\mathrm{vol}_{g} = 1.$$

- 1. The strict inequality is an open problem.
- 2. The strict inequality cannot be valid on S^m in any circumstance.

Study the PDE problem

$$D_{g}\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\psi \quad \text{on} \ (S^{m}, g_{S^{m}})$$
(3)

is of particular interests: in the 2-dimensional case

Question 1

Given an arbitrary smooth function $H : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, what is the condition on H so that there is an isometric immersion $S^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ realizing H as its mean curvature?

Study the PDE problem

$$D_g \psi = H(\xi) |\psi|^{\frac{2}{m-1}} \psi$$
 on (S^m, g_{S^m}) (3)

is of particular interests: in the 2-dimensional case

Question 1

Given an arbitrary smooth function $H : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, what is the condition on H so that there is an isometric immersion $S^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ realizing H as its mean curvature?

Question 2

Assume that a function $H : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is given such that it is the mean curvature of an immersion. Under which condition is this immersion an embedding? And when does it have self-intersections?

C. Bär investigated the zero sets of solutions to semilinear elliptic systems of first order:

C. Bär investigated the zero sets of solutions to semilinear elliptic systems of first order:

Theorem (C. Bär 1999)

Let (M, g) be compact and connected spin *m*-manifold and let φ be a solution of

$$D_g \varphi = P \varphi$$

where *P* is a smooth endomorphism. Then the zero set of φ has at most Hausdorff dimension m - 2. And if m = 2, then the zero set is discrete.

C. Bär investigated the zero sets of solutions to semilinear elliptic systems of first order:

Theorem (C. Bär 1999)

Let (M, g) be compact and connected spin *m*-manifold and let φ be a solution of

$$D_g \varphi = P \varphi$$

where *P* is a smooth endomorphism. Then the zero set of φ has at most Hausdorff dimension m - 2. And if m = 2, then the zero set is discrete.

Can we count the number of the zeros?

Lemma

On a closed spin surface (M^2, g) of genus γ , suppose that $H \in C^{\infty}$ is positive. Let ψ be a solution of the equation

$$D_g \psi = H(\xi) |\psi|_g^2 \psi$$
 on M^2 .

Then the number of zeros of ψ is at most

$$\gamma - 1 + \frac{\int_{M^2} H(\xi)^2 |\psi|_g^4 d\mathrm{vol}_g}{4\pi}.$$

Lemma

On a closed spin surface (M^2, g) of genus γ , suppose that $H \in C^{\infty}$ is positive. Let ψ be a solution of the equation

$$D_g \psi = H(\xi) |\psi|_g^2 \psi$$
 on M^2 .

Then the number of zeros of ψ is at most

$$\gamma - 1 + \frac{\int_{M^2} H(\xi)^2 |\psi|_g^4 d\mathrm{vol}_g}{4\pi}.$$

• If M^2 has genus $\gamma = 0$ and $\int_{M^2} H(\xi) |\psi|^4 d \operatorname{vol}_g < \frac{8\pi}{H_{max}}$, where $H_{max} = \max_{M^2} H$,

Lemma

On a closed spin surface (M^2, g) of genus γ , suppose that $H \in C^{\infty}$ is positive. Let ψ be a solution of the equation

$$D_g \psi = H(\xi) |\psi|_g^2 \psi$$
 on M^2 .

Then the number of zeros of ψ is at most

$$\gamma - 1 + \frac{\int_{M^2} H(\xi)^2 |\psi|_g^4 d\mathrm{vol}_g}{4\pi}.$$

• If M^2 has genus $\gamma = 0$ and $\int_{M^2} H(\xi) |\psi|^4 d \operatorname{vol}_g < \frac{8\pi}{H_{max}}$, where $H_{max} = \max_{M^2} H$, then

$$\#\psi^{-1}(0) \le -1 + \frac{H_{max} \int_{M^2} H(\xi) |\psi|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_g}{4\pi} < -1 + 2 = 1$$

Idea of the proof:

On *M* \ ψ⁻¹(0), by using the conformal change of the Dirac operator, we obtain a solution φ₁ to

$$D_{g_1}\varphi_1 = H(\xi)\varphi_1$$
 and $|\varphi_1|_{g_1} = 1$

where $g_1 = |\psi|^{\frac{4}{m-1}}g$. Then by Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula, we get

$$Scal_{g_1} \le \frac{4(m-1)}{m} H^2.$$

Idea of the proof:

On *M* \ ψ⁻¹(0), by using the conformal change of the Dirac operator, we obtain a solution φ₁ to

$$D_{g_1}\varphi_1 = H(\xi)\varphi_1$$
 and $|\varphi_1|_{g_1} = 1$

where $g_1 = |\psi|^{\frac{4}{m-1}}g$. Then by Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula, we get

$$Scal_{g_1} \le \frac{4(m-1)}{m} H^2.$$

• In case m = 2, let $\psi^{-1}(0) = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l\}$ for some $l \ge 1$ and n_j be the order of the first non-vanishing term in the Taylor expansion of ψ near each zero point, it can be calculated that the integral of the geodesic curvature κ_{g_1} over a small circle around the ξ_j is close to $-2\pi(2n_j + 1)$.

Idea of the proof:

On *M* \ ψ⁻¹(0), by using the conformal change of the Dirac operator, we obtain a solution φ₁ to

$$D_{g_1}\varphi_1 = H(\xi)\varphi_1$$
 and $|\varphi_1|_{g_1} = 1$

where $g_1 = |\psi|^{\frac{4}{m-1}}g$. Then by Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula, we get

$$Scal_{g_1} \le \frac{4(m-1)}{m} H^2.$$

• In case m = 2, let $\psi^{-1}(0) = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l\}$ for some $l \ge 1$ and n_j be the order of the first non-vanishing term in the Taylor expansion of ψ near each zero point, it can be calculated that the integral of the geodesic curvature κ_{g_1} over a small circle around the ξ_j is close to $-2\pi(2n_j + 1)$.

• Gauß-Bonnet $\Rightarrow 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^{l} n_j \le 2\pi (2\gamma - 2) + \int_{M \setminus \psi^{-1}(0)} H(\xi)^2 |\psi|^4 d \operatorname{vol}_g$

• Prescribed mean curvature problem

Let $M^2 = S^2$, then solutions of

$$D_{g_{S^2}}\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^2_{g_{S^2}}\psi \tag{4}$$

satisfying

$$\int_{S^2} H(\xi) |\psi|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^2}} < \frac{8\pi}{H_{max}},$$

is of particular importance.

• Prescribed mean curvature problem

Let $M^2 = S^2$, then solutions of

$$D_{g_{S^2}}\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^2_{g_{S^2}}\psi$$
(4)

satisfying

$$\int_{S^2} H(\xi) |\psi|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^2}} < \frac{8\pi}{H_{max}}$$

is of particular importance.

* Recalling the strict inequality formulated by S. Raulot (2009):

$$\lambda_{\min,H}\cdot (\max_{M}H)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} < \frac{m}{2}\omega_{m}^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

which is no longer valid on spheres. We have

$$\lambda_{\min,H} \cdot (\max_{S^m} H)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \geq \frac{m}{2} \omega_m^{\frac{1}{m}} \quad \text{on } S^m.$$

In dimension 2, this is to say that the solution of Eq. (4) satisfies

$$\int_{S^2} H(\xi) |\psi|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^2}} \geq \frac{4\pi}{H_{max}},$$

On $(S^m, g_{S^m}), m \ge 2,$ $D\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\psi$ (5)

Eq. (5) is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^m} (D\psi, \psi) d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}} - \frac{1}{m^*} \int_{S^m} H(\xi) |\psi|^{m^*} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}}$$

where
$$m^* = \frac{2m}{m-1}$$
.

On
$$(S^m, g_{S^m}), m \ge 2,$$

 $D\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\psi$ (5)

Eq. (5) is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^m} (D\psi, \psi) d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}} - \frac{1}{m^*} \int_{S^m} H(\xi) |\psi|^{m^*} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}}$$

where $m^* = \frac{2m}{m-1}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we also consider the perturbed problem

$$D\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^{\frac{2}{m-1}-\varepsilon}\psi \tag{6}$$

and the associated functional

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^m} (D\psi, \psi) d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}} - \frac{1}{m^* - \varepsilon} \int_{S^m} H(\xi) |\psi|^{m^* - \varepsilon} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}}$$

The L^2 spectrum of D is

Spec(D) =
$$\left\{ \pm \left(\frac{m}{2} + j\right) : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

 $- Spec(D) = \{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$

(1) By classical spectral theory

$$L^{2}(S^{m}, \mathbb{S}(S^{m})) = \overline{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in Spec(D)} \ker(D - \lambda I)}.$$

- (2) Eigenspinors {η_k}_{k∈ℤ\{0}} be the complete orthonormal basis of L²(S^m, S(S^m)).
- (3) Define the operator $|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}: L^2(S^m, \mathbb{S}(S^m)) \to L^2(S^m, \mathbb{S}(S^m))$ by

$$|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}|\lambda_k|^{\frac{1}{2}}a_k\eta_k,$$

where $\psi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} a_k \eta_k \in L^2(S^m, \mathbb{S}(S^m)).$

Set

$$E:=\Big\{\psi=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}a_k\eta_k\in L^2(S^m,\mathbb{S}(S^m)):\ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}|\lambda_k||a_k|^2<\infty\Big\}.$$

We have *E* coincides with the Sobolev space $W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(S^m, \mathbb{S}(S^m))$

endow E with the inner product

$$\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle = \operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi, |D|^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi)_2$$

and the induced norm $\|\cdot\| = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

• $E \hookrightarrow L^q(S^m, \mathbb{S}(S^m))$ for $2 \le q \le m^* := \frac{2m}{m-1}$.

• *E* has a splitting $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ with

 $E^+ := \overline{\operatorname{span}\{\eta_k\}_{k>0}}$ and $E^- := \overline{\operatorname{span}\{\eta_k\}_{k<0}}$,

where the closure is taken in the $\|\cdot\|$ -topology.

$$D\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^{p-2}\psi \quad \text{on } S^m,$$

 $p \in (2, m^*]$ and $m^* = \frac{2m}{m-1}$, has a variational structure: ψ is a solution if and only if ψ is a critical point of \mathcal{L}_p defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{p}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{m}} (D\psi, \psi) d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^{m}}} - \frac{1}{p} \int_{S^{m}} H(\xi) |\psi|^{p} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^{m}}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (||\psi^{+}||^{2} - ||\psi^{-}||^{2}) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{S^{m}} H(\xi) |\psi|^{p} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^{m}}}$$

for $\psi = \psi^+ + \psi^- \in E = E^+ \oplus E^-$.

Our perturbed equations are just taking the values $p = m^* - \varepsilon$.

$$\mathcal{L}_{p}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2}(||\psi^{+}||^{2} - ||\psi^{-}||^{2}) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{S^{m}} H(\xi) |\psi|^{p} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^{m}}}$$



$$\mathcal{L}_p(tu+\psi^-) = \frac{1}{2}(t^2||u||^2 - ||\psi^-||^2) - \frac{1}{p}\int_{S^m} H(\xi)|tu+\psi^-|^p d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}}$$

Lyapunov-Schmidt type reduction

Proposition

There exists a C^1 map $h_p : E^+ \to E^-$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_p(u+h_p(u)) = \max_{v \in E^-} \mathcal{L}_p(u+v).$$

Furthermore, if denoted by $I_p(u) = \mathcal{L}_p(u + h_p(u))$, the function $t \mapsto I_p(tu)$ is C^2 and, for $u \in E^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and t > 0,

$$I_p'(tu)[u] = 0 \implies I_p''(tu)[u, u] < 0.$$

Lyapunov-Schmidt type reduction

Proposition

There exists a C^1 map $h_p: E^+ \to E^-$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_p(u+h_p(u)) = \max_{v \in E^-} \mathcal{L}_p(u+v).$$

Furthermore, if denoted by $I_p(u) = \mathcal{L}_p(u + h_p(u))$, the function $t \mapsto I_p(tu)$ is C^2 and, for $u \in E^+ \setminus \{0\}$ and t > 0,

$$I_p'(tu)[u]=0 \, \Rightarrow \, I_p''(tu)[u,u]<0.$$

► critical points of I_p and \mathcal{L}_p are in one-to-one correspondence via the injective map $u \mapsto u + h_p(u)$ from E^+ to E;

the set

$$\mathscr{N}_p = \{ u \in E^+ \setminus \{0\} : I'_p(u)[u] = 0 \}$$

is a smooth manifold of codimension 1 in E^+ containing all critical points of I_p , moreover, $I_p|_{\mathcal{N}_p}$ is bounded from below.

• Blow-up analysis

Quantization of blowing up sequence of almost critical point for the functional

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^m} (D\psi, \psi) d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}} - \frac{1}{p_n} \int_{S^m} H(\xi) |\psi|^{p_n} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}}$$

where $p_n \nearrow m^*$ as $n \to \infty$;

Blow-up analysis

Quantization of blowing up sequence of almost critical point for the functional

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^m} (D\psi, \psi) d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}} - \frac{1}{p_n} \int_{S^m} H(\xi) |\psi|^{p_n} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}}$$

where
$$p_n \nearrow m^*$$
 as $n \to \infty$;

Proposition (1)

Suppose $\{\psi_n\} \subset E$ is a sequence such that

$$\frac{1}{2m(H_{max})^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m \le \mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \le \frac{1}{m(H_{max})^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m - \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}'_n(\psi_n) \to 0$$

for some constant $\theta > 0$. Then, up to a subsequence, either $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$ or $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi_0 \neq 0$ in *E* where ψ_0 solves

$$D\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^{m^*-2}\psi.$$

A 3 b

Blow-up analysis

Proposition (2)

Let $\{\psi_n\} \subset E$ fulfill the assumption of Proposition (1). If $\{\psi_n\}$ does not contain any compact subsequence. Then, up to a subsequence if necessary, there exist a convergent sequence $\{a_n\} \subset S^m$, $a_n \to a$ as $n \to \infty$, a sequence of radius $\{R_n\}$ converging to 0, a real number $\lambda \in (2^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}, 1]$ and a non-trivial solution ϕ_0 of

 $D_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}^m}}\phi_0 = \lambda H(a) |\phi_0|^{2^*-2} \phi_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^m$

such that $R_n^{\frac{m-1}{2}(2^*-p_n)} = \lambda + o_n(1)$ and

$$\psi_n = R_n^{-\frac{m-1}{2}} \eta(\cdot) \overline{(\mu_n)}_* \circ \phi_0 \circ \mu_n^{-1} + o_n(1) \quad \text{in } E$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $\mu_n(x) = \exp_{a_n}(R_n x)$ and $\eta \in C^{\infty}(S^m)$ is a cut-off function such that $\eta(\xi) = 1$ on $B_r(a)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \eta \subset B_{2r}(a)$, some r > 0. Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \ge \frac{1}{2m(\lambda H(a))^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m + o_n(1)$$

as $n \to \infty$. If additionally $\mathcal{L}'_n(\psi_n) \equiv 0$ for all n, then $\nabla H(a) = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$.

• Criteria of H

- $H: S^m \to (0, +\infty)$ be a positive function of C^2 class
 - $H_{max} := \max_{\xi \in S^m} H(\xi)$ and $H_{min} := \min_{\xi \in S^m} H(\xi)$
 - ▶ $\mathcal{H} := \{\xi \in S^m : H(\xi) = H_{max}\}$ is the collection of maximum points
 - ► $\mathcal{H}_{\delta} := \{\xi \in S^m : \operatorname{dist}_{g_{S^m}}(\xi, \mathcal{H}) < \delta\}$ is its δ -neighborhood for $\delta > 0$
$H: S^m \to (0, +\infty)$ be a positive function of C^2 class

•
$$H_{max} := \max_{\xi \in S^m} H(\xi)$$
 and $H_{min} := \min_{\xi \in S^m} H(\xi)$

▶ $\mathcal{H} := \{\xi \in S^m : H(\xi) = H_{max}\}$ is the collection of maximum points

► $\mathcal{H}_{\delta} := \{\xi \in S^m : \operatorname{dist}_{g_{S^m}}(\xi, \mathcal{H}) < \delta\}$ is its δ -neighborhood for $\delta > 0$

(*H**) \mathcal{H} is not contractible in its δ -neighborhood \mathcal{H}_{δ} , for some small $\delta > 0$,

 $H: S^m \to (0, +\infty)$ be a positive function of C^2 class

•
$$H_{max} := \max_{\xi \in S^m} H(\xi)$$
 and $H_{min} := \min_{\xi \in S^m} H(\xi)$

▶ $\mathcal{H} := \{\xi \in S^m : H(\xi) = H_{max}\}$ is the collection of maximum points

- ► $\mathcal{H}_{\delta} := \{\xi \in S^m : \operatorname{dist}_{g_{S^m}}(\xi, \mathcal{H}) < \delta\}$ is its δ -neighborhood for $\delta > 0$
- (*H**) \mathcal{H} is not contractible in its δ -neighborhood \mathcal{H}_{δ} , for some small $\delta > 0$, but there exits $d \in (\max \{2^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}H_{max}, H_{min}\}, H_{max})$ such that \mathcal{H} is contractible in $\{\xi \in S^m : H(\xi) \ge d\}$.

 $H: S^m \to (0, +\infty)$ be a positive function of C^2 class

• $H_{max} := \max_{\xi \in S^m} H(\xi)$ and $H_{min} := \min_{\xi \in S^m} H(\xi)$

▶ $\mathcal{H} := \{\xi \in S^m : H(\xi) = H_{max}\}$ is the collection of maximum points

► $\mathcal{H}_{\delta} := \{\xi \in S^m : \operatorname{dist}_{g_{S^m}}(\xi, \mathcal{H}) < \delta\}$ is its δ -neighborhood for $\delta > 0$

(*H**) \mathcal{H} is not contractible in its δ -neighborhood \mathcal{H}_{δ} , for some small $\delta > 0$, but there exits $d \in (\max \{2^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}H_{max}, H_{min}\}, H_{max})$ such that \mathcal{H} is contractible in $\{\xi \in S^m : H(\xi) \ge d\}$. There is no critical value of H in the interval (d, H_{max}) , and if $\xi \in S^m$ is a critical point of H with $H(\xi) = d$ then the Hessian of H at ξ is positive definite.



Figure: A possible shape of function H's, in local coordinates, which satisfies the criteria (H).

★ Remark that the class of *H*'s which satisfy the hypothesis (*H*) is dense, in C^1 -topology, in the class of positive smooth functions.



Recall that, for $p \in (2, m^*]$, we have reduced the functional \mathcal{L}_p on E to a functional I_p on E^+

$$I_p(u) = \mathcal{L}_p(u + h_p(u))$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_p = \{ u \in E^+ \setminus \{0\} : I'_p(u)[u] = 0 \}$$

Lemma (the main estimate 1)

There exists $\theta > 0$ small (depending on the function *H*) and a embedding $\Phi_p : \{H \ge d + \sigma\} \cup O_v \to \mathcal{N}_p$ such that

$$\max_{\xi \in \{H \ge d+\sigma\} \cup O_{\gamma}} I_p(\Phi_p(\xi)) \le \hat{a} := \frac{1}{2m d^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m - \theta$$

Tian Xu (xutian@amss.ac.cn)

Recall that, for $p \in (2, m^*]$, we have reduced the functional \mathcal{L}_p on E to a functional I_p on E^+

$$I_p(u) = \mathcal{L}_p(u + h_p(u))$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_p = \{ u \in E^+ \setminus \{0\} : I'_p(u)[u] = 0 \}$$

Lemma (the main estimate 1)

There exists $\theta > 0$ small (depending on the function *H*) and a embedding $\Phi_p : \{H \ge d + \sigma\} \cup O_v \to \mathcal{N}_p$ such that

$$\max_{\xi \in \{H \ge d+\sigma\} \cup O_{\nu}} I_p(\Phi_p(\xi)) \le \hat{a} := \frac{1}{2m \, d^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m - \theta$$

$$d > 2^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} H_{max} \Rightarrow \hat{a} < \frac{1}{m(H_{max})^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m - \theta$$

Lemma (the main estimate 2)

Fix $\theta > 0$ small in the previous lemma, for $2 sufficiently close to <math>m^*$, there exists a continuous map $\Psi_p : \mathscr{N}_p \to S^m$ such that

$$I_p(u) \leq \hat{b} := \frac{1}{2m(H_{max})^{m-1}} (\frac{m}{2})^m \omega_m + \theta \Longrightarrow \Psi_p(u) \in \mathcal{H}_{\delta}.$$

Lemma (the main estimate 2)

Fix $\theta > 0$ small in the previous lemma, for $2 sufficiently close to <math>m^*$, there exists a continuous map $\Psi_p : \mathscr{N}_p \to S^m$ such that

$$I_p(u) \leq \hat{b} := \frac{1}{2m(H_{max})^{m-1}} (\frac{m}{2})^m \omega_m + \theta \Longrightarrow \Psi_p(u) \in \mathcal{H}_{\delta}.$$

* For each $2 sufficiently close to <math>m^*$, suppose there is no critical value of $I_p|_{\mathcal{N}_p}$ in the interval $[\hat{b}, \hat{a}]$. Then by the Deformation Lemma, $\{I_p|_{\mathcal{N}_p} \leq \hat{a}\}$ can be continuously deformed into $\{I_p|_{\mathcal{N}_p} \leq \hat{b}\}$. And hence

$$\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\Phi_p} \{I_p\big|_{\mathcal{N}_p} \leq \hat{a}\} \xrightarrow{\text{deform}} \{I_p\big|_{\mathcal{N}_p} \leq \hat{b}\} \xrightarrow{\Psi_p} \mathcal{H}_{\delta}$$

is contractible, a contradiction!

let $p_n \to m^*$ and ψ_n be the critical point of \mathcal{L}_n with $\mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \in [\hat{b}, \hat{a}]$

< ∃ >

let $p_n \to m^*$ and ψ_n be the critical point of \mathcal{L}_n with $\mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \in [\hat{b}, \hat{a}]$ \Downarrow via blow-up

if $\{\psi_n\}$ is not compact, then there exist a blow-up point $a \in S^m$ such that

$$\hat{a} \ge \mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \ge \frac{1}{2m(H(a))^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m + o_n(1)$$

let $p_n \to m^*$ and ψ_n be the critical point of \mathcal{L}_n with $\mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \in [\hat{b}, \hat{a}]$ \Downarrow via blow-up

if $\{\psi_n\}$ is not compact, then there exist a blow-up point $a \in S^m$ such that

$$\hat{a} \ge \mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \ge \frac{1}{2m(H(a))^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m + o_n(1)$$

$$\downarrow \hat{a} := \frac{1}{2md^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m - \theta$$

H(a) > d i.e. $a \in \{H \ge d + \sigma\}$ for some $\sigma > 0$ small

let $p_n \to m^*$ and ψ_n be the critical point of \mathcal{L}_n with $\mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \in [\hat{b}, \hat{a}]$ \Downarrow via blow-up

if $\{\psi_n\}$ is not compact, then there exist a blow-up point $a \in S^m$ such that

$$\hat{a} \ge \mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \ge \frac{1}{2m(H(a))^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m + o_n(1)$$

$$\downarrow \hat{a} := \frac{1}{2m d^{m-1}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^m \omega_m - \theta$$

H(a) > d i.e. $a \in \{H \ge d + \sigma\}$ for some $\sigma > 0$ small

 \Downarrow no critical value in (*d*, *H*_{max})

$$H(a) = H_{max}$$
 i.e. $a \in \mathcal{H}$

In dimension 2, if $\{\psi_n\}$ blows up, there exists a non-trivial solution ϕ_0 of

$$D_{g_{\mathbb{R}^2}}\phi_0 = H_{max}|\phi_0|^2\phi_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2$$

such that

$$H_{max} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi_0|^4 d \mathrm{vol}_{g_{\mathbb{R}^2}} < \frac{8\pi}{H_{max}}.$$

In dimension 2, if $\{\psi_n\}$ blows up, there exists a non-trivial solution ϕ_0 of

$$D_{g_{\mathbb{R}^2}}\phi_0 = H_{max}|\phi_0|^2\phi_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2$$

such that

$$H_{max} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi_0|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{\mathbb{R}^2}} < \frac{8\pi}{H_{max}}.$$

Via stereographic projection, it corresponds to a solution $\bar{\phi}_0$ of

$$D_{g_{S^2}}\bar{\phi}_0 = H_{max}|\bar{\phi}_0|^2\bar{\phi}_0$$
 on S^2

with

$$H_{max} \int_{S^2} |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 d\text{vol}_{g_{S^2}} = H_{max} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi_0|^4 d\text{vol}_{g_{\mathbb{R}^2}} < \frac{8\pi}{H_{max}}$$

Spinorial Yamabe problem and related topics

• The existence

- $* \ ar{\phi}_0$ has no zero
- * $(S^2, |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 g_{S^2})$ is isometrically immersed into \mathbb{R}^3 with constant mean curvature $H_{max} > 0$
- * the Willmore energy

$$\int_{S^2} H^2_{max} d\mathrm{vol}_{|\bar{\phi}_0|^4} g_{S^2} = H^2_{max} \int_{S^2} |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^2}} < 8\pi.$$

Spinorial Yamabe problem and related topics

• The existence

- $* \ ar{\phi}_0$ has no zero
- * $(S^2, |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 g_{S^2})$ is isometrically immersed into \mathbb{R}^3 with constant mean curvature $H_{max} > 0$
- * the Willmore energy

$$\int_{S^2} H^2_{max} d\mathrm{vol}_{|\bar{\phi}_0|^4} g_{S^2} = H^2_{max} \int_{S^2} |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^2}} < 8\pi.$$

Li-Yau's inequality implies $(S^2, |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 g_{S^2})$ is embedded into \mathbb{R}^3 .

↓

Alexandrov's theorem suggests $(S^2, |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 g_{S^2})$ must be a round sphere

$$\downarrow
H_{max}^2 \int_{S^2} |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 d\operatorname{vol}_{g_{S^2}} = 4\pi$$

Back to the blow-up result, we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \to \frac{H_{max}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi_0|^4 d\operatorname{vol}_{g_{\mathbb{R}^2}} = \frac{H_{max}}{4} \int_{S^2} |\bar{\phi}_0|^4 d\operatorname{vol}_{g_{S^2}} = \frac{\pi}{H_{max}} < \hat{b}$$

Contradicts to the fact $\hat{b} \leq \mathcal{L}_n(\psi_n) \leq \hat{a}$.

Hence $\{\psi_n\}$ must be compact.

Theorem

If $H: S^2 \to (0, \infty)$ is a C^2 function satisfying condition (*H*), then there is a solution ψ to

$$D_{g_{S^2}}\psi = H(\xi)|\psi|^2\psi \quad \text{on } S^2$$

with the energy estimate

$$\frac{4\pi}{H_{max}} < \int_{S^2} H(\xi) |\psi|_{g_{S^2}}^4 d\text{vol}_{g_{S^2}} < \frac{8\pi}{H_{max}}.$$

Particularly, if *H* is smooth, then the solution ψ has no zero at all, i.e. the nodal set $\psi^{-1}(0)$ is empty.

Corollary

Let C^{∞}_{+} denote the class of positive smooth functions on S^{2} and let

 $\mathscr{H} = \{H \in C_+^{\infty} : H \equiv constant \text{ or } H \text{ satisfies hypothesis } (H)\}.$

Then \mathcal{H} has the following properties:

Corollary

Let C^{∞}_{+} denote the class of positive smooth functions on S^{2} and let

 $\mathscr{H} = \{H \in C_+^{\infty} : H \equiv constant \text{ or } H \text{ satisfies hypothesis } (H)\}.$

Then \mathscr{H} has the following properties:

(1) \mathscr{H} is dense in C^{∞}_{+} with respect to the C^{1} -topology,

Corollary

Let C^{∞}_{+} denote the class of positive smooth functions on S^{2} and let

 $\mathscr{H} = \{H \in C^{\infty}_{+} : H \equiv constant \text{ or } H \text{ satisfies hypothesis } (H)\}.$

Then \mathcal{H} has the following properties:

- (1) \mathscr{H} is dense in C^{∞}_+ with respect to the C^1 -topology,
- (2) for any *H* ∈ ℋ, there exists a conformal metric g_H ∈ [g_{S²}] and an isometric immersion *F* : (S², g_H) → (ℝ³, g_{R³}) with *H* being its mean curvature,

Corollary

Let C^{∞}_{+} denote the class of positive smooth functions on S^{2} and let

 $\mathscr{H} = \{H \in C^{\infty}_{+} : H \equiv constant \text{ or } H \text{ satisfies hypothesis } (H)\}.$

Then \mathscr{H} has the following properties:

- (1) \mathscr{H} is dense in C^{∞}_+ with respect to the C^1 -topology,
- (2) for any *H* ∈ ℋ, there exists a conformal metric g_H ∈ [g_{S²}] and an isometric immersion *F* : (S², g_H) → (ℝ³, g_{R³}) with *H* being its mean curvature,
- (3) the Willmore energy of F satisfies

$$\mathcal{W}(F) = \int_{S^2} H(\xi)^2 d\mathrm{vol}_{g_H} < 8\pi,$$

and thus F is an isometric embedding.

(1) One may simply expect that all smooth function can be a mean curvature of some conformal immersion. However, it is easy to see that this cannot be true. Indeed, there is a necessary condition on H for Eq. (5) to be solvable. This follows from the obstruction found by Ammann, Humbert and Ahmedou: if ψ solves (5), then

$$\int_{S^m} (\nabla_X H) |\psi|^{m^*} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}} = 0$$

for any conformal vector field X on S^m .

(1) One may simply expect that all smooth function can be a mean curvature of some conformal immersion. However, it is easy to see that this cannot be true. Indeed, there is a necessary condition on H for Eq. (5) to be solvable. This follows from the obstruction found by Ammann, Humbert and Ahmedou: if ψ solves (5), then

$$\int_{S^m} (\nabla_X H) |\psi|^{m^*} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_{S^m}} = 0$$

for any conformal vector field X on S^m .

Let us consider $x_3 : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be the third component of the standard inclusion. The vector field $X := \operatorname{grad} x_3$ is a conformal vector field on S^2 . It can be calculated that $\nabla_X(1 + \varepsilon x_3) = \varepsilon g_{S^2}(\operatorname{grad} x_3, \operatorname{grad} x_3)$, which is of constant sign. Hence, $1 + \varepsilon x_3$ is not a mean curvature of any conformal immersion on S^2 .

(2) In 2013, T. Isobe obtained a existence result. He proved that when the function *H* is very close to a constant, that is $H(\xi) = 1 + \varepsilon Q(\xi)$ on S^2 with *Q* being some Morse function, then there exists a branched immersion $F_{\varepsilon,H} : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ whose mean curvature is $H = 1 + \varepsilon Q$. Here, by branched immersion we mean that $F_{\varepsilon,H}$ is an immersion except at a discrete set of points. The approach of Isobe to this result is also based on the Spinorial Weierstraß representation and the nonlinear Dirac equation.

(2) In 2013, T. Isobe obtained a existence result. He proved that when the function *H* is very close to a constant, that is $H(\xi) = 1 + \varepsilon Q(\xi)$ on S^2 with *Q* being some Morse function, then there exists a branched immersion $F_{\varepsilon,H}: S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ whose mean curvature is $H = 1 + \varepsilon Q$. Here, by branched immersion we mean that $F_{\varepsilon,H}$ is an immersion except at a discrete set of points. The approach of Isobe to this result is also based on the Spinorial Weierstraß representation and the nonlinear Dirac equation.

Another result concerning this problem is due to M. Anderson 2015. He proved that for any positive function $H: S^2 \to (0, \infty)$ in the class $C^{k,\alpha}$, $k \ge 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists a branched immersion $F: S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ and an positive affine function ℓ on S^2 such that the mean curvature of F is prescribed by $H + \ell$. In this setting the function H > 0 lies arbitrarily in the class $C^{k,\alpha}$, and thus it can be far away from a constant. However, the affine function ℓ can not be prescribed.

(3) The hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature are much more complicated in higher dimensions, surprising examples have been shown: there exist infinitely many distinct differentiable immersions of the 3-sphere into Euclidean 4-space having a given positive constant mean curvature, moreover, the total "area" as well as the total integral of the norm of the second fundamental form of such examples can be as large as one wants. This makes the picture of blow-up unclear to us when the dimension $m \ge 3$, particularly we do not know whether or not $\bar{\phi}_0$ has the minimal energy. Our approach in this regard up to now have failed.

Reference:

W-Y.Hsiang, Z-H.Teng, W-C.Yu, New examples of constant mean curvature immersions of (2k - 1)-spheres into Euclidean 2k-Space, Ann. of Math., 117 (1983), 609-625.

Thanks for your attention!